Implementing Selection Assessments in Small Organizations: It's a Small World After All!





Setting

- Over 4 million organizations have less than 20 employees¹
- Solutions are available for establishing validity in a Small N settings
 - Small organizations
 - ▶ Few incumbents within jobs
- Unique issues/challenges faced by smaller organizations

¹ U.S. Census Bureau, 2007



Challenges

- **▶** Cost
- **▶** Time
- ▶ Knowledge/SME
- Personnel
- Incumbents tenure



What is Validity?

- Validity as a unitary concept
- Validation is a science and practice
- Validation evidence is:
 - "case-building"
 - a continuous process
 - cumulative



What is Validity?

- Classic validity evidence
 - ▶ Construct
 - Criterion
 - Content



Introduction

- Methods for small N settings
 - ▶ Job Component Validity/Validity Generalization
 - Meta-analysis/Consortium Studies
 - ▶ Transportability



Defined

"Formally extending empirical, criterion-related validation evidence obtained in one setting by applying it to similar jobs in another setting, where no empirical validation research has been conducted."

(Gibson & Caplinger, 2007)

"Users may, under certain circumstances, support the use of selection procedures by validity studies conducted by other users or conducted by test publishers or distributors and described in test manuals."

- Uniform Guidelines



- Advantages
 - Cost-effective
 - Both the Standards and the Principles support transporting validity evidence



- Challenges
 - Fluidity of job
 - Degree of job similarity
 - Establishing validity done out of necessity
 - Permission to use a study



- Legal References/Case Law
 - Bernard v. Gulf Oil Corp (1986)
 - Albemarle Paper v. Moody (1975)
 - Friend v. Leidinger (1977)
 - Pegues v. Mississippi Employment Service (1980)
 - Dickerson v. United States Steel Corp (1978)
 - →Vanguard Justice Society v. Hughes (1979)



Method

- Three requirements for transporting validity
 - 1. Criterion-related evidence that satisfies Uniform Guideline requirements
 - Comprehensive documentation
 - Solid validity demonstrated
 - Adequate sample size
 - Reliable data
 - Timeliness of the study



Case Study

1. Criterion-related evidence that satisfies Uniform Guideline requirements



Method

- Three requirements for transporting validity
 - 1. Criterion-related evidence that satisfies Uniform Guideline requirements
 - 2. Job analysis evidence of similarity between jobs
 - Substantially similar work behaviors
 - Consistent KSAOs
 - Lack of fluidity (constancy)



Case Study

- 2. Job analysis evidence of similarity between jobs
 - Substantially similar work behaviors
 - Consistent KSAOs
 - Lack of fluidity (constancy)



Method

- Three requirements for transporting validity
 - 1. Criterion-related evidence that satisfies Uniform Guideline requirements
 - 2. Job analysis evidence of similarity between jobs
 - 3. Fairness
 - Analysis in source sample (where feasible)
 - Analysis in target sample (where feasible)
 - Replicated with applicant data postimplementation



Case Study

3. Fairness

- Analysis in source sample (where feasible)
- Analysis in target sample (where feasible)
- Replicated with applicant data postimplementation



Conclusion

- A "world of viable options" for selection in small N settings
- There is no single recipe for success
- Professional judgment is required
- Goal to diminish the subjectivity in the selection process

Implementing Selection Assessments in Small Organizations: It's a Small World After All!

For more information please contact Dr. Amanda Evans <u>aevans@craftsystems.com</u>

or

Dr. Doug Waldo <u>drdwaldo@craftsystems.com</u>

